

RESUMEN DE INVESTIGACIÓN

La gravedad de la enfermedad del SARS-CoV-2 y la eficiencia de transmisión aumentan con los aerosoles en los hámsteres sirios, pero no para la exposición a fómites.

J.R. Port et al., bioRxiv: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.28.424565

ANTECEDENTES

La investigación experimental ha demostrado que el ARN viral se puede detectar de manera constante hasta siete días en las superficies, pero el virus se degrada rápidamente. Esta discrepancia obstaculiza nuestra capacidad para traducir la detección del ARN del SARS-CoV-2 en las superficies de los hospitales y en muestras de aire y comprender la transmisión y la contribución relativa de los fómites y del virus en el aire.

OBJETIVO

En este estudio se utiliza el modelo de hámster sirio para analizar la contribución relativa de fómites y transmisión aérea y estudiar el impacto de la ruta de transmisión en la gravedad de la enfermedad. Se evalúa el tropismo del SARS-CoV-2, el perfil de diseminación, la gravedad de la enfermedad y la respuesta inmune después de diferentes exposiciones.

MÉTODO

3 grupos de 12 hámsteres cada uno son inoculados con el SARS-CoV-2 por vía nasal, por aerosoles o por fómites. Un cuarto grupo actúa como control. En cada grupo 4 animales son sacrificados el día 1 postinoculación, otros 4 el día cuarto y los restantes el día 14.

RESULTADOS

-La gravedad de la enfermedad clínica se correlaciona con la vía de exposición siendo mayor en aquellos inoculados por aerosoles.

-La exposición a aerosoles deposita virus directamente en el tracto respiratorio superior e inferior, con replicación en el epitelio de la cavidad nasal, epitelio traqueal y bronquial.

-La exposición a fómite SARS-CoV-2 muestra una cinética de replicación retrasada en el tracto respiratorio y conduce a una patología pulmonar menos grave .

-La exposición a fómite SARS-CoV-2 da como resultado un perfil inmunológico y antiinflamatorio más retardado y reducido

-La diseminación viral depende de la vía de exposición.

-El perfil de diseminación temprana puede predecir la gravedad de la enfermedad y la correspondiente respuesta inmunitaria.

-La transmisión aérea es más eficiente que la transmisión por fómite en el hámster sirio.

CONCLUSIONES La gravedad de la enfermedad por SARS-CoV-2, así como la transmisión, aumentan

cuando los aerosoles son la vía de contagio, en el modelo animal.



Títulos de infección por SARS-CoV-2 en función de vía de transmisión



Perfil de diseminación respiratoria en función de la vía de transmisión



Traducido por el Consejo General de Dentistas de España. Gráficos adaptados del original



SARS-CoV-2 disease severity and transmission efficiency is increased for airborne but not fomite exposure in Syrian hamsters Julia R. Port, Claude Kwe Yinda, Irene Offei Owusu, Myndi Holbrook, Robert Fischer, Trenton Bushmaker, Victoria A. Avanzato, Jonathan E. Schulz, Neeltje van Doremalen, Chad S. Clancy, Vincent J. Munster bioRxiv 2020.12.28.424565; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.28.424565

1	SARS-CoV-2 disease severity and transmission efficiency is increased for airborne but not fomite
2	exposure in Syrian hamsters.
3	Julia R. Port ^{1*} , Claude Kwe Yinda ^{1*} , Irene Offei Owusu ¹ , Myndi Holbrook ¹ , Robert Fischer ¹ ,
4	Trenton Bushmaker ^{1,2} , Victoria A. Avanzato ¹ , Jonathan E. Schulz ¹ , Neeltje van Doremalen ¹ ,
5	Chad S. Clancy ³ , Vincent J. Munster ^{1#}
6	
7	1. Laboratory of Virology, Division of Intramural Research, National Institutes of Health,
8	Hamilton, MT, USA
9	2. Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana, USA
10	3. Rocky Mountain Veterinary Branch, Division of Intramural Research, National Institutes
12	of Health, Hamilton, MT, USA
13	
13	
15	
16	
17	*"These authors contributed equally to this work"
1/	These autions contributed equally to this work

18 #Corresponding author: Vincent Munster, email: <u>vincent.munster@nih.gov</u>

19 Abstract (150 words or less)

20 Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 is driven by contact, fomite, and airborne transmission. The 21 relative contribution of different transmission routes remains subject to debate. Here, we show 22 Syrian hamsters are susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection through intranasal, aerosol and 23 fomite exposure. Different routes of exposure presented with distinct disease manifestations. 24 Intranasal and aerosol inoculation caused more severe respiratory pathology, higher virus loads and increased weight loss. Fomite exposure led to milder disease manifestation characterized 25 26 by an anti-inflammatory immune state and delayed shedding pattern. Whereas the overall 27 magnitude of respiratory virus shedding was not linked to disease severity, the onset of 28 shedding was. Early shedding was linked to an increase in disease severity. Airborne 29 transmission was more efficient than fomite transmission and dependent on the direction of the 30 airflow. Carefully characterized of SARS-CoV-2 transmission models will be crucial to assess potential changes in transmission and pathogenic potential in the light of the ongoing SARS-31 32 CoV-2 evolution.

33

34 Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, animal model, hamster, transmission, coronavirus

35 Introduction

Since the emergence of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) in 36 37 Wuhan, China, in December 2019, the virus has spread across the globe and has caused over 70 million cases and 1.5 million deaths as of December 2020 [1]. Infection with SARS-CoV-2 38 39 can cause asymptomatic to severe lower respiratory tract infections in humans [2, 3]. Peak 40 respiratory shedding in humans occurs at the time of symptom onset or in the week thereafter, followed by a steady decline after the induction of a humoral immune response [4]. To a lesser 41 42 extent, shedding from the intestinal tract has also been observed, but generally does not appear 43 to be associated with the presence of infectious SARS-CoV-2 nor subsequent transmission. 44 There is no established relationship between COVID-19 disease severity and duration and 45 magnitude of SARS-CoV-2 shedding [5].

Considering the scale of the COVID-19 pandemic, it remains unclear to what extent the different 46 routes of exposure contribute to human-to-human transmission and how the exposure route 47 affects disease manifestation. In order to evaluate existing SARS-CoV-2 control measures it is 48 crucial to understand the relative contribution of different transmission routes. Because the 49 50 majority of cases have been observed in households or after social gatherings, transmission of 51 SARS-CoV-2 is believed to be driven mostly by direct contact, fomites, and short-distance 52 airborne transmission [6]. Airborne transmission can be defined as human-to-human 53 transmission through exposure to large droplets and small droplet nuclei that can be transmitted 54 through the air; whereas airborne transmission includes transmission through both large and small droplets, true aerosol transmission occurs via droplet nuclei particles smaller than 5 µm. 55 56 Fomites are a result of infectious respiratory secretions or droplets being expelled and contaminating surfaces. 57

In multiple hospital settings SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA has been consistently detected on surfaces
[7-12] and air-samples [8, 9, 13-20]. Detection of infectious virus in air and surface samples has
been relatively limited, however infectious SARS-CoV-2 has been recovered from air samples

3

[21] and surfaces [22, 23]. Experimental research has shown viral RNA can consistently be detected for up to seven days on surfaces but, the infectious virus degrades rapidly, with limited presence after two days [12]. This discrepancy between the consistent detection of SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA and the relatively short time frames when viable virus can be detected directly hampers our ability to translate SARS-CoV-2 RNA detection on hospital surfaces and in air samples to understanding transmission and relative contribution of fomites and airborne virus.

In the current study we use the well-established Syrian hamster model [24-26] to experimentally delineate the relative contribution of fomite and airborne transmission and study the impact of transmission route on disease severity using this model. We evaluated the SARS-CoV-2 tropism, shedding profile, disease severity and immune response after different exposures. Using this data, we developed a hamster airborne and fomite transmission model to confirm our findings in a natural transmission setting.

73

74 Results

75 Clinical disease severity is correlated with exposure route

76 To investigate the impact of exposure route on disease severity, we compared three different 77 inoculation routes. Three groups of 12, 4-6-week-old, female hamsters were inoculated with SARS-CoV-2 via the intranasal (I.N.; 8x10⁴ TCID₅₀), aerosol (1.5x10³ TCID₅₀) or fomite (8x10⁴ 78 79 $TCID_{50}$) routes (Fig 1 a). An unexposed control was included (N = 12) as comparison. For each 80 group, 4 animals were euthanized on 1 day post inoculation (DPI) and 4 DPI, the remaining 4 animals were monitored until 14 DPI. Animals inoculated via the I.N. or aerosol routes 81 demonstrated significant weight loss, whereas fomite exposure resulted in limited, transient 82 weight loss. Animals inoculated I.N. started losing weight at 3 DPI and aerosol exposed animals 83 at 2 DPI (Fig 1 b). Weight loss at 6 DPI was significant compared to unexposed controls for I.N., 84 and at 4 DPI for aerosol group (Fig 1 b; N = 4, Mann-Whitney test, p = 0.0286 and p = 0.0286). 85 86 In addition to weight loss, inconsistent, temporary, mild lethargy and ruffled fur were observed.

Fomite exposure presented with less weight gain compared to unexposed controls. At 14 DPI no significant difference was observed between the groups (Fig 1 c; N = 4, Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test, p = 0.2953).

90

Aerosol exposure directly deposits virus in the upper and lower respiratory tract, with replication in the nasal cavity epithelium, tracheal and bronchial epithelia

93 Early (1 DPI) SARS-CoV-2 tropism and replication were investigated for each exposure route. 94 Infectious virus could be detected in the trachea of all I.N. and aerosol exposed animals. In the 95 lung, infectious virus was detected in all aerosol exposed animals and a subset of I.N. 96 inoculated hamsters (Fig 1 d). No infectious virus was detected at 1 DPI in the fomite group in 97 either the upper or lower respiratory tract. Compared to I.N. exposed animals, aerosol exposed 98 hamsters demonstrated significantly increased viral load in the trachea and the lung at this time 99 point (N = 4, ordinary two-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test, p =100 0.0115 and p = <0.0001, respectively). This suggests that aerosol exposure more efficiently 101 deposits viral droplet nuclei in the lower respiratory system. No infectious virus was detected in 102 the gastrointestinal tract regardless of the route of inoculation.

103 To investigate initial cellular tropism, immunohistochemistry (IHC) targeting the SARS-CoV-2 104 nucleoprotein as a marker of SARS-CoV-2 replication was performed on skull sagittal sections 105 and lung sections at 1 DPI. In aerosol inoculated animals, viral antigen was observed in moderate to numerous ciliated epithelial cells in the nasal cavity, tracheal mucosa, and 106 107 bronchiolar mucosa. In addition, viral antigen was detected in type I and type II pneumocytes, 108 pulmonary macrophages and olfactory epithelial cells (Fig 2 a, e, i, m). Comparatively, 109 evaluation of I.N. exposed hamsters revealed a lack of viral antigen in the epithelial cells of the 110 trachea and lung at this timepoint. Interestingly, viral antigen was detected in pulmonary 111 macrophages in a subset (N= 2/4) of I.N. inoculated hamsters at 1 DPI. Viral antigen was 112 detected in ciliated and olfactory epithelium of the nasal turbinates (Fig 2 b, f, j, m). In

accordance with the virological findings, no SARS-CoV-2 antigen was detected in the trachea or lung of any fomite inoculated hamsters (N = 0/4). Viral antigen was detected in ciliated epithelial cells of the nasal turbinates in one (N = 1/4) fomite inoculated hamster (Fig 2 c, g, k, m). No SARS-CoV-2 antigen was detected in the esophagus or brain in any of the evaluated animals (data not shown) nor in unexposed control tissues (Fig 2 d, h, l, m).

118

Fomite SARS-CoV-2 exposure displays delayed replication kinetics in the respiratory tract and leads to less severe lung pathology

121 To determine the correlation between exposure route and subsequent respiratory tract 122 pathology, sections of lung, trachea and nasal turbinates were obtained for histopathological 123 evaluation at 1 and 4 DPI. Interestingly, nasal turbinate pathology was observed in a subset of 124 hamsters regardless of inoculation route at 1 DPI (Fig 3 a, b, c). Histopathologic lesions were observed primarily in ciliated epithelial cells at 1 DPI and were most consistently observed in the 125 I.N. inoculation group with all (N = 4/4) inoculated animals exhibiting mild to moderate ciliated 126 epithelial cell necrosis with influx of numerous degenerate and non-degenerate leukocytes 127 128 followed closely by aerosol inoculated hamsters with 75% (N = 3/4) exhibiting minimal to 129 moderate pathology. The fomite inoculation group had the least consistent and least severe histopathologic lesions in the nasal turbinates with half (N = 2/4) of hamsters having no 130 131 histopathologic lesions and the remaining hamsters (N = 2/4) having only minimal pathology. Mild to moderate tracheal inflammation was observed in all (N = 4/4) aerosol inoculated and half 132 (N = 1/2) of the I.N. inoculated hamsters (Fig 3 e, f). Tracheal inflammation was not observed in 133 any of the fomite inoculated hamsters (N = 4; Fig 3 g), confirming that virus titers detected at 1 134 135 DPI are linked to early-onset pathological changes in this model. As expected, pulmonary pathology was minimal (aerosol and fomite) at 1 DPI, regardless of route of inoculation. Early 136 137 histopathologic lesions in the lung included rare single cell bronchiolar epithelial cell necrosis, 138 infiltration of rare or low numbers of neutrophils into the bronchiolar mucosa and focal interstitial pneumonia with minimal septal expansion by edema fluid and spillover of rare leukocytes into
the adjacent alveolar spaces (Fig 3 i, j, k).

141 By 4 DPI, infectious virus could be detected in the lung of all animals regardless of inoculation 142 route. No significant difference was observed between I.N. and aerosol or fomite exposed 143 animals (Fig 1 d; N = 4, ordinary two-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test, p = 0.4114 and p = 0.9201, respectively). An increase in the severity of both turbinate and 144 145 pulmonary pathology was observed in all evaluated hamsters regardless of the route of 146 inoculation. Interestingly, in both aerosol and I.N. inoculation routes, regions of olfactory 147 epithelium within the nasal turbinates were more severely affected, suggesting initial viral 148 attachment and replication in ciliated epithelium followed by targeting of the more caudal 149 olfactory epithelium during disease progression (Fig 3 m, n, o). At this timepoint, nasal mucosal 150 pathology was observed in all fomite inoculated animals. However, the pathology was less 151 severe as compared to I.N. and aerosol groups and focused primarily on regions of ciliated 152 mucosa, suggesting a delay in disease progression relative to aerosol and I.N. routes. Tracheal 153 inflammation was observed in all inoculation routes and varied from minimal to mild (Fig 3 g, r, 154 s). Moderate pulmonary pathology consistent with previously described SARS-CoV-2 infection 155 in Syrian hamsters [24] was observed in aerosol and I.N. inoculated animals at 4 DPI (Fig 3 u, v) with less severe and less consistent pathology observed in the fomite inoculation group (Fig 3 156 157 w). Lesions were characterized as moderate, broncho-interstitial pneumonia centered on terminal bronchioles and extending into adjacent alveoli. The interstitial pneumonia was 158 159 characterized by thickening of alveolar septa by edema fluid, fibrin and moderate numbers of macrophages and fewer neutrophils. Inconsistent pulmonary pathology was observed for this 160 161 group with lesions ranging from minimal to moderate, which is in accordance with the 162 observation that some fomite exposed animals did demonstrate high viral loads in the lung at 4 163 DPI \(Fig 3 w). No significant histopathologic lesions were observed in sections of mediastinal

and mesenteric lymph node, esophagus, duodenum, or colon, (data not shown) or any control
animal on 1 and 4 DPI (Fig 3 d, h, l, p, t, x).

166 Using a hierarchical clustering of lung pathology parameters (bronchiolitis, interstitial pneumonia, tracheitis, pathology of the ciliated and olfactory epithelium) on both 1 and 4 DPI in 167 168 relation to the observed viral titers, a clear relationship existed between the respiratory pathology at 1 DPI in the trachea, and viral load of trachea and lung, while pathology in the 169 170 nasal epithelial was more distantly related (Fig 3 y). Of note, viral load in the lungs at 4 DPI was most closely associated with presentation of interstitial pneumonia. Fomite exposed animals 171 172 most closely resembled unexposed controls at 1 DPI and clustered together as a separate 173 group at 4 DPI due to the appearance of tracheitis, pathology in the ciliated epithelium without distinct lower respiratory tract involvement (Fig 3 z). This implies that fomite SARS-CoV-2 174 175 exposure displays delayed replication kinetics in the respiratory tract and leads to less severe 176 lung pathology at 4 DPI compared to direct deep deposition of virus into the respiratory tract 177 (aerosol inoculation).

178

Fomite SARS-CoV-2 exposure results in a delayed, reduced, and anti-inflammatory immune profile

181 To investigate the systemic immune response, cytokine specific ELISAs were performed on 182 serum at 4 DPI (Fig 4 a). Expression patterns were strikingly different depending on exposure route for pro-inflammatory tumour necrosis factor (TNF)- α and anti-inflammatory IL-4 and IL-10. 183 184 Both I.N. and aerosol groups presented with increased levels of TNF- α at 4 DPI as compared to 185 unexposed animals, whilst the fomite exposed group demonstrated decreased levels; a significant difference in serum levels was detected between I.N. and fomite exposed groups (N 186 = 4, Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons test, p = 0.0360). Adversely, 187 188 the IL-4 levels were markedly increased in all groups as compared to unexposed animals, yet

189 highest levels were seen in fomite exposed animals, the difference between unexposed and 190 fomite group reaching statistical significance (N = 4, Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by Dunn's 191 multiple comparisons test, p = 0.0109). Increased serum IL-10 was also observed in fomite 192 exposed animals and I.N. exposed animals, while a decrease was observed in animals after 193 aerosol exposure, resulting in a significant difference between aerosol and fomite exposed 194 hamsters (N = 4, Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons test, p = 0.0286). 195 While not significant, a trend of decreased serum levels of interferon (INF)- γ as compared to 196 uninfected animals, was observed. No significant differences were seen for serum levels of 197 interleukin (IL)-6.

198 Irrespective of exposure route, all exposed animals seroconverted at 14 DPI as seen by the 199 presence of antibodies targeting the SARS-CoV-2 spike measured by ELISA (Fig 4 b). The magnitude of humoral response was linked to the exposure route. I.N. exposure resulted in the 200 201 strongest, and significantly higher antibody response when compared to fomite exposure (N = 4, 202 Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons test, p = 0.0209). No significant 203 difference was observed between I.N. and aerosol exposed animals. Taken together this 204 suggests a predominantly anti-inflammatory immune response is mounted after fomite 205 exposure, as compared to aerosol exposure, which may protect from more severe outcome, yet 206 is also linked to a weaker, but still substantial, antibody response.

207

208 Viral shedding is exposure route dependent

To gain an understanding of route-dependent virus shedding patterns of SARS-CoV-2 in the Syrian hamster, daily oropharyngeal and rectal swabs were taken until 7 DPI, after which swabs were taken thrice weekly (Fig 4 c, d). Oropharyngeal swabs are a measurement of respiratory shedding while rectal swabs assess intestinal shedding. Viral sgRNA, a marker of virus replication [27], was detected in both swabs from all exposed animals on at least one day. When comparing the overall respiratory shedding profile between the exposure routes, different 215 patterns were observed. I.N. inoculation resulted in high viral loads starting at 1 DPI and 216 continued up until 6 DPI, before sgRNA levels started to decrease. In the aerosol inoculated 217 group, the peak of virus shedding was reached on 2 DPI and viral sgRNA levels decreased immediately thereafter. In contrast, animals exposed through the fomite route demonstrated 218 219 different shedding kinetics as compared to aerosol and I.N. groups with an increase in viral 220 sgRNA shedding over multiple days, until peak shedding was reached at 5 DPI. While a trend seemed present for higher individual peak shedding in I.N. and fomite groups, no significant 221 222 difference was detected (Gig 4 e; N = 4, Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by Dunn's multiple 223 comparisons test, p = 0.8400). In comparison, intestinal shedding demonstrated median lower viral loads with no significant difference between groups: N = 4 Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by 224 Dunn's multiple comparisons test, p = 0.1512 (Fig 4 d, e). Looking at the shedding profile of 225 226 individual animals across groups, intestinal shedding was observed for a maximum of three consecutive days with sgRNA only being detected in swabs for one or two consecutive days for 227 most positive animals. To evaluate the overall shedding burden generated by each exposure 228 route, the cumulative shedding up until 14 DPI (area under the curve (AUC)) was compared. 229 230 Aerosol exposure led to overall less viral RNA in oropharyngeal swabs as compared to I.N. and 231 fomite exposure (N = 4, Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons test, p =0.0263). In contrast, most commutative viral sgRNA was detected in rectal swabs of aerosol 232 233 exposed animals (Fig 4 f). Taken together, these data suggest that severity of disease is not 234 indicative of the duration and cumulative amount of virus shed after infection.

235

Early shedding profile may predict disease severity and corresponding immuneresponse

As we observed different impacts on disease profiles between exposure routes, we next investigated potential predictability of disease through early shedding patterns. Cytokine responses as a measurement of the immune status (4 DPI) were included in the correlations between early shedding (2 DPI), peak shedding, peak weight loss, lung titers and pathology at 4 DPI (Fig 3 g). Lung viral titers were positively correlated significantly with the amount of viral RNA detected in oropharyngeal swabs at 2 DPI (Spearman correlation test, N = 12, p = 0.047). Lung titers showed a positive relationship with upper and lower respiratory tract pathology and weight loss. This suggests that early time point respiratory shedding (before disease manifestation) may predict the acute disease manifestation.

Serum levels of IL-4, IL-6 and IL-10 did not show any significant correlations with parameters of disease severity; however, a clear negative relationship could be seen in the correlations. TNF- α , negatively correlated to IL-4 and IL-10 levels (Spearman correlation test, N = 12, p = 0.048 and p = 0.049, respectively). A positive correlation between early rectal shedding and TNF- α serum levels and olfactory pathology was observed (Spearman correlation test, N = 12, p = 0.0002 and p = 0.001, respectively) (Fig 4g).

253

Airborne transmission is more efficient than fomite transmission in the Syrian hamster

To investigate viral fomite contamination of caging, daily swabs were taken from surfaces in cages containing one I.N. inoculated hamsters, up to 7 DPI (Sup Fig 1 b, c). Viral gRNA was detectable at 1 DPI in all samples, sgRNA was detectable for 7/8 (87.5%) bedding samples and 3/8 (37.5%) cage samples, and at 2 DPI in 8/8 cages for both samples. Viral sgRNA was detectable at high concentrations up until 7 DPI, with peak concentrations seen on 2 and 3 DPI, suggesting a robustly contaminated caging environment.

To assess the potential risk of fomite transmission, we introduced sentinel hamsters to cages after housing two I.N. infected animals for 4 days. (Fig 5 a). No signs of disease or weight loss were observed in sentinel animals, but seroconversion was seen in 4 out of 8 animals (Fig 5 f) at 21 days after exposure (DPE) to a contaminated cage, confirming that hamster-to-hamster indirect transmission via fomites can occur (Fig 5 h). Next, the efficiency and dynamics of airborne hamster-to-hamster transmission were assessed. For this purpose, we designed a cage divider, which allowed airflow but no direct contact or fomite transmission between animals. (Fig 5 b, Fig 5 c, d, and supplemental video). We used a particle sizer to assess the effect of the cage divider on blocking particle flow. We observed that cross-over of smaller particles (<10 μ m) was blocked approx. 60%, whilst larger particles (>10 μ m), were reduced over 85% on the sentinel side (Fig 5 d, e).

272 In the first experiment, one sentinel hamster was placed on the side of the divider downflow from one infected animal (N = 8). In contrast to animals exposed directly to aerosolized virus, no 273 274 signs of disease or weight loss were observed in any of the sentinel animals (Fig 5 g). However, 275 all animals seroconverted. To assess the importance of directional airflow, airborne 276 transmission was also modeled for 4 transmission pairs housing the sentinel against the airflow (Fig 5 b, c). Only one out of 4 of the sentinels placed against airflow seroconverted (Fig 5 g), 277 278 suggesting, as expected, that directional airflow is key to airborne transmission. When comparing the antibody response at 21 DPI/DPE, no significant difference was 279 280 determined between the donor I.N. inoculated animals and those that seroconverted after airborne transmission (100%), while titers for animals that seroconverted after 281 fomite transmission (50%) were lower (Fig 5 g, Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by Dunn's 282 multiple comparisons test, N = 8 and N = 4, p = >0.9999 and p = 0.2488, respectively). 283 Titers were comparable to those observed after direct inoculation. Together, this 284 285 sugaests that hamster-to-hamster airborne transmission mav present with asymptomatic disease manifestation, yet the humoral immune memory is comparably 286 robust. 287

To investigate the transmission risk posed by animals after fomite or airborne transmission, the respiratory shedding profile was determined. Viral shedding was demonstrated in 4 out of 8

12

290 sentinel hamsters after exposure to contaminated cages on multiple consecutive days. 291 Shedding was observed at 1 DPE, with peak viral sgRNA being seen at 4/5 DPE, like what was 292 observed in hamsters directly exposed to fomites (Fig 4 c). For airborne transmission, sentinels downstream of airflow started shedding by 1 DPE, and all 8 animals had high amounts of viral 293 294 sgRNA in the oropharyngeal cavity by 2 DPE, which remained high until 6 DPE. This data suggest that this indirect exposure route presents with a distinctly different disease 295 296 manifestation and shedding profile than direct aerosol exposure (Fig 5 i). Of note, commutative 297 viral shedding between infected airborne exposed animals showed no difference to those 298 infected through fomite transmission (Fig 5 j). These data imply that, whilst presenting with no or 299 very mild disease phenotypes, both routes of indirect exposure between animals create a 300 mimicry of asymptomatic carriers.

301

302 Discussion

303 SARS-CoV-2 transmission is driven by close proximity, confined environment, and the 304 frequency of contacts [28]. Infection with SARS-CoV-2 is believed to be driven by direct contact, 305 inhalation of virus within respiratory droplet nuclei, contact with droplet contaminated surfaces or 306 any combination between these exposures. Yet, the relative contribution of each of the potential 307 routes of exposure in relationship to human-to-human transmission has been elusive. Moreover, 308 the relationship between exposure route and the differential impact on disease severity has 309 been equally obscure. Animal models are essential to model experimental transmission under 310 controlled conditions, as transmission involves several factors: duration and magnitude of virus 311 shedding, stability of the virus in aerosols or on surfaces, and the subsequent infection of 312 another host.

313

Our data indicate that in addition to the exposure dose [29] and underlying host conditions [30], disease is a function of exposure route. The Syrian hamster model recapitulates several

13

316 aspects of COVID-19, including upper and lower respiratory tract pathology, SARS-CoV-2 317 shedding and potential transmission between animals [24-26, 29, 31-33]. Typically, 318 experimental studies with SARS-CoV-2 in hamsters rely on intranasal inoculation. This route of 319 inoculation establishes robust infection but does not resemble natural infection via aerosols or 320 respiratory droplets. Here we directly compared natural transmission routes, designed to mimic 321 airborne and fomite exposure. The initial respiratory tropism of SARS-CoV-2 was determined by the exposure route, aerosol exposure deposited SARS-CoV-2 more efficiently in both the upper 322 323 and lower respiratory tract. As a result, the SARS-CoV-2 replication kinetics displayed higher 324 viral titers in trachea and lung early in the infection compared to the I.N. inoculated animals. 325 Despite a 10-fold lower inoculation dose, exposing Syrian hamsters to aerosolized SARS-CoV-2 326 resulted in more rapid virus replication in the lung and weight loss compared to I.N. inoculation. 327 In contrast, fomite inoculation displayed a delayed disease manifestation with a prolonged time between exposure and viral replication in the lung leading to reduced disease severity. This 328 delay suggests that for fomite infection viral replication may occur in the oropharynx before 329 330 being inhaled [32]. It's possible that this may give time for a regulating immune response characterized by a systemic lack of TNF- α and an increased IL-4 and IL-10 presence 331 332 demonstrated in this work. This in turn may reduce immune pathology in the lung even with the observed viral titers at 4 DPI not being significantly lower as compared to aerosol inoculation. 333

334

No human data is currently available on the influence of transmission route on COVID-19 severity. In experimental Nipah virus infection studies in non-human primates, particle size directly influenced the disease manifestations. Aerosol exposure led to a rapidly progressing respiratory disease whereas large droplet exposure led to an extended disease course that does not have the prominent respiratory features [34, 35]. These findings suggest more severe disease is associated with direct deposition of the virus in the lower respiratory tract, whereas with milder disease the first viral replication occurs in upper respiratory tract. This further implies that besides lowering viral dose, intervention measures such as face-coverings may also serve
to minimize disease by limiting the deposition of viral particles into the lower respiratory tract
[36-38]. More investigations are required to validate if this occurs [39].

345 Our data reflects findings in humans, where no clear correlation could be drawn between 346 severity of disease and shedding time. The aerosol exposed animals shed cumulatively less 347 virus, while fomite exposure resulted in equally high peak viral shedding compared to I.N. 348 inoculated animals. In humans, serological analyses suggest that approximately 17% of 349 infections remain mild to asymptomatic [40]. There is evidence of both asymptomatic and 350 symptomatic shedding [41-44], suggesting that mild or asymptomatic disease contribute the 351 same transmission risk as more severe COVID-19 cases [45, 46]. Asymptomatic disease in 352 humans may present with lower shedding dose or faster decline [5], which we did not observe in this animal model. 353

354 The relative contribution of fomite and airborne transmission to the spread of SARS-CoV-2 is 355 still disputed [47]. The risk of fomite transmission was previously assessed as lower compared to airborne transmission in a limited study in the Syrian hamster. Fomite transmission occurred 356 357 in only 1 of 3 sentinels placed into contaminated cages at viral RNA peak contamination [25]. 358 Surprisingly, we demonstrate here that fomite transmission may still occur (4 out of 8) when 359 peak shedding of infectious virus has waned as previously shown [25], and environmental 360 contamination is expected to be reduced. Importantly, this implies that even with an increased 361 understanding of airborne transmission involvement at this stage of the pandemic, the risk of fomite transmission in humans should not be underestimated. In particular, fomite transmission 362 may be more likely to occur in nosocomial settings that present a combination of fomite and 363 364 aerosol generating procedures and may potentially be further enhanced with more susceptible 365 hospital population [48, 49].

366 Within our transmission set-up we show a selective reduction of largest particles (>10 μ m), but 367 that this exclusion was not absolute (Fig 5). Therefore, we cannot formally distinguish between 368 true aerosol transmission (droplet nuclei < 5 μ m), droplet transmission (> 10 μ m), or a 369 combination of these two. Previous studies have shown that SARS-CoV-2 can be transmitted 370 through the air in a ferret model over short and moderate distance [50, 51] and in hamsters over 371 short distance [25, 52]. In our study we were able to show a high efficiency of airborne transmission with 100% of the sentinels becoming infected. When reversing the airflow from 372 373 uninfected animals toward infected animals, a sharp reduction in transmission was observed. 374 This suggest that directional airflow plays an important role in the transmission of SARS-CoV-2. 375 This has also been observed in human-to-human transmission events, where transmission in 376 confined spaces (e.g. restaurant) was directed by airflow [16, 53, 54]. Control measures focused 377 on strategically designed room ventilation will directly aid the control of the pandemic [55, 56].

378 In this study, we showed the relative contribution of airborne and fomite transmission and the 379 impact of exposure route on disease. The hamster transmission model will be crucial to assess 380 the transmission and pathogenic potential of novel SARS-CoV-2 strains, in the light of the 381 continuing SARS-CoV-2 virus evolution [57]. In addition, this work will allow the development of 382 effective public health countermeasures aimed at blocking human-to-human transmission. The 383 findings of this study suggest that using more natural routes of transmission are highly suitable for accurately assessing the transmission potential and pathogenicity of novel evolved strains 384 385 [57]. Additionally, these data strongly suggest that the Syrian hamster model would be very 386 suitable to investigate the true limits of airborne transmission and applying this to prevention 387 studies as has been previously demonstrated for short distance airborne transmission with 388 masks [52]. Furthermore, demonstrating hamster-to-hamster natural transmission via different 389 routes indicates that this model is useful for setting up complex intervention experiments 390 involving different transmission routes.

391

392 Materials and Methods

393 Ethics statement

Approval of animal experiments was obtained from the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Rocky Mountain Laboratories. Performance of experiments was done following the guidelines and basic principles in the United States Public Health Service Policy on Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Work with infectious SARS-CoV-2 strains under BSL3 conditions was approved by the Institutional Biosafety Committee (IBC). Inactivation and removal of samples from high containment was performed per IBC-approved standard operating procedures.

401

402 Virus and cells

SARS-CoV-2 strain nCoV-WA1-2020 (MN985325.1) was provided by CDC, Atlanta, USA. Virus propagation was performed in VeroE6 cells in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 2% foetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 µg/mL streptomycin. Cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 µg/mL streptomycin. No contaminants were detected; the used virus was 100% identical to the initial deposited GenBank sequence (MN985325.1).

409

410 Inoculation experiments

Four to six-week-old female Syrian hamsters (ENVIGO) were inoculated (12 animals per route) either intranasally (I.N.), via aerosol exposure or via exposure to a fomite. Hamsters were housed in groups of 4 animals. I.N. inoculation was performed with 40 μ L sterile DMEM containing 8x10⁴ TCID₅₀ SARS-CoV-2. For exposure through aerosols animals were subjected to 1.5x10³ TCID₅₀ SARS-CoV-2 during a 10 min exposure time. Aerosol inoculation using the AeroMP aerosol management platform (Biaera technologies, USA) was performed as described 417 previously [58]. Briefly, non-anesthetized hamsters were exposed to a single exposure whilst contained in a stainless-steel wire mesh cage. Aerosol droplet nuclei were generated by a 3-jet 418 419 collision nebulizer (Biaera technologies, USA) and ranged from 1-5 µm in size. Respiratory 420 minute volume rates of the animals were determined using the methods of Alexander et al. [59]. 421 Weights of the animals were averaged and the estimated inhaled dose was calculated using the simplified formula $D = R \times C_{aero} \times T_{exp}$ [60], where D is the inhaled dose, R is the respiratory 422 423 minute volume (L/min), C_{aero} is the aerosol concentration (TCID₅₀/L), and T_{exp} is duration of the exposure (min). Fomite exposure was conducted by placing a polypropylene dish into the cage 424 containing 40µL of 8x10⁴ TCID₅₀ SARS-CoV-2 per hamster (total dose per cage: 3.2x10⁵ 425 426 TCID₅₀).

427 At 1- and 4-days post infection (DPI), four hamsters for each route were euthanized, and tissues 428 were collected. The remaining 4 animals for each route were euthanized at 14 DPI for disease 429 course assessment and shedding analysis. Hamsters were weighted daily, and oropharyngeal 430 and rectal swabs were taken daily until day 7 and then thrice a week. Swabs were collected in 1 431 mL DMEM with 200 U/mL penicillin and 200 µg/mL streptomycin. Hamsters were observed daily 432 for clinical signs of disease.

433

434 Airborne Transmission experiments

Airborne transmission was examined by co-housing hamsters (1:1) in specially designed cages with a perforated plastic divider dividing the living space in half. This divider prevented direct contact between the donor/primary infected and sentinel hamster and the movement of bedding material. Regular bedding was replaced by alpha-dri bedding to avoid the generation of dust particles. Donor hamsters were infected intranasally as described above and sentinel hamsters placed on the other side of a divider afterwards. Hamsters were followed as described above until 21 DPI. Experiments were performed with cages placed into a standard rodent cage rack, under normal airflow conditions (Fig 5 c, d, e). Sentinels were either placed in the direction of
the airflow, or against it (Fig 5 b).

444

445 Fomite Transmission experiments

Fomite transmission was examined by infecting donor hamsters as described above by I.N. inoculation. Two animals per cage were housed for 4 days. Regular bedding was replaced by alpha-dri bedding to avoid the generation of dust particles. At 4 DPI, donors were euthanized, and sentinel animals (2 animals per cage) were placed into the contaminated cage (Fig 5 a). Hamsters were followed as described above until DPI 21; bedding and cages were left undisturbed.

452

453 Particle sizing

454 Transmission cages were modified by introducing an inlet on the side of the infected hamster 455 side, and sample ports on each end of the cage for measurement of particles in the air under 456 constant airflow condition. Particles were generated by spraying a 20% (v/v) glycerol solution 457 with a standard spray bottle through the cage inlet. The particle size range of the generated 458 particles was measured using a Model 3321 aerodynamic particle sizer spectrometer (TSI). The 459 cage was coated with two sprays at an interval of 30 seconds (s) and after a third spray the 460 sample port was opened, and a sample was analyzed. The cage was sprayed every 30 s and five samples were analysed (5 runs, each 60 s) for both donor side (primary infected side) and 461 462 sentinel side.

463

464 *Histopathology and immunohistochemistry*

465 Necropsies and tissue sampling were performed according to IBC-approved protocols. Tissues were fixed for a minimum of 7 days in 10% neutral buffered formalin with 2 changes. Tissues 466 467 were placed in cassettes and processed with a Sakura VIP-6 Tissue Tek, on a 12-hour automated schedule, using a graded series of ethanol, xylene, and ParaPlast Extra. Prior to 468 469 staining, embedded tissues were sectioned at 5 µm and dried overnight at 42°C. Using GenScript U864YFA140-4/CB2093 NP-1 (1:1000) specific anti-CoV immunoreactivity was 470 471 detected using the Vector Laboratories ImPress VR anti-rabbit IgG polymer (# MP-6401) as secondary antibody. The tissues were then processed using the Discovery Ultra automated 472 473 processor (Ventana Medical Systems) with a ChromoMap DAB kit Roche Tissue Diagnostics 474 (#760-159).

475

476 Viral RNA detection

Swabs from hamsters were collected as described above. Cage and bedding material were 477 478 sampled with prewetted swabs in 1 mL of DMEM supplemented with 200 U/mL penicillin and 479 200 µg/mL streptomycin. Then, 140 µL was utilized for RNA extraction using the QIAamp Viral 480 RNA Kit (Qiagen) using QIAcube HT automated system (Qiagen) according to the 481 manufacturer's instructions with an elution volume of 150 µL. Sub-genomic (sg) viral RNA and genomic (g) was detected by qRT-PCR [27, 61]. Five µL RNA was tested with TaqMan[™] Fast 482 483 Virus One-Step Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) using QuantStudio 6 Flex Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) according to instructions of the manufacturer. Ten-fold dilutions of 484 SARS-CoV-2 standards with known copy numbers were used to construct a standard curve and 485 486 calculate copy numbers/mL.

487

488 Viral titration

Viable virus in tissue samples was determined as previously described [62]. In brief, lung,
trachea, brain, and gastrointestinal tissue samples were weighted, then homogenized in 1 mL of

491 DMEM (2% FBS). VeroE6 cells were inoculated with ten-fold serial dilutions of tissue 492 homogenate, incubated 1 h at 37°C, the first two dilutions washed twice with 2% DMEM. Cells 493 were incubated with tissue homogenate for 6 days, then scored for cytopathic effect. TCID₅₀/mL 494 was calculated by the method of Spearman-Karber and adjusted for tissue weight.

495

496 Serology

Serum samples were inactivated with y-irradiation (2 mRad) and analyzed as previously 497 described [63]. In brief, maxisorp plates (Nunc) were coated with 50 ng spike protein (generated 498 499 in-house) per well and incubated overnight at 4°C. After blocking with casein in phosphate 500 buffered saline (PBS) (ThermoFisher) for 1 h at room temperature (RT), serially diluted 2-fold serum samples (duplicate, in blocking buffer) were incubated for 1 h at RT. Spike-specific 501 502 antibodies were detected with goat anti-hamster IgG Fc (horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-503 conjugated, Abcam) for 1 h at RT and visualized with KPL TMB 2-component peroxidase 504 substrate kit (SeraCare, 5120-0047). The reaction was stopped with KPL stop solution (Seracare) and read at 450 nm. Plates were washed 3 to 5 x with PBS-T (0.1% Tween) for each 505 506 wash. The threshold for positivity was calculated as the average plus 3 x the standard deviation 507 of negative control hamster sera.

508

509 Cytokine analysis

510 Cytokine concentrations were determined using a commercial hamster ELISA kit for TNF-α, 511 INF-γ, IL-6, IL-4, and IL-10 available at antibodies.com, according to the manufacturer's 512 instructions (antibodies.com; A74292, A74590, A74291, A74027, A75096). Samples were pre-513 diluted 1:50.

514

515 Statistical Analysis

21

516 Heatmaps and correlation graphs were made in R [64] using pheatmap [65] and corrplot [66] 517 packages. Significance test were performed as indicated where appropriate: Spearman 518 correlation test, two-way ANOVA and Kruskal-Wallis test. Statistical significance levels were 519 determined as follows: ns = p > 0.05; * = $p \le 0.05$; ** = $p \le 0.01$; *** = $p \le 0.001$; **** 0.0001. 520 521 **Acknowledgements** 522 The authors would like to thank the Rocky Mountain Veterinary branch, including Nicki Arndt, 523 524 Amanda Weidow and Brian Mosbrucker for assistance with high containment husbandry and

525 cage design and testing, Greg Saturday for assistance with necropsy, Tina Thomas for 526 assistance with histology, Stephanie Seifert for assistance in study protocol editing, and Rose 527 Perry and Ryan Kissinger for assistance with the figures. This research was supported by the 528 Intramural Research Program of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases 529 (NIAID), National Institutes of Health (NIH).

530

531 Disclosure statement

- 532 The authors declare no competing financial interests.
- 533

534 **References**

535 1. WHO, Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report – 52. 2020.

536 2. Nie, S., et al., Coronavirus Disease 2019-related dyspnea cases difficult to interpret using chest

computed tomography. Respiratory medicine, 2020. **167**: p. 105951-105951.

- 538 3. Parry, A.H., et al., Spectrum of chest computed tomographic (CT) findings in coronavirus
- disease-19 (COVID-19) patients in India. European Journal of Radiology, 2020. 129: p. 109147-109147.
- 540 4. van Kampen, J.J.A., et al., Shedding of infectious virus in hospitalized patients with coronavirus
- 541 *disease-2019 (COVID-19): duration and key determinants.* medRxiv, 2020: p. 2020.06.08.20125310.
- 542 5. Cevik, M., et al., SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV viral load dynamics, duration of viral
- 543 shedding, and infectiousness: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet Microbe, 2020.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.28.424565; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

6. Organization, W.H., Transmission of SARS-CoV-2: implications for infection prevention

545 precautions. 2020.

- 546 7. van Doremalen, N., et al., Aerosol and Surface Stability of SARS-CoV-2 as Compared with SARS-
- 547 *CoV-1*. N Engl J Med, 2020. **382**(16): p. 1564-1567.
- 548 8. Chia, P.Y., et al., Detection of air and surface contamination by SARS-CoV-2 in hospital rooms
- 549 *of infected patients*. Nat Commun, 2020. **11**(1): p. 2800.
- 550 9. Guo, Z.D., et al., Aerosol and Surface Distribution of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome
- 551 Coronavirus 2 in Hospital Wards, Wuhan, China, 2020. Emerg Infect Dis, 2020. 26(7): p. 1583-1591.
- 552 10. Zhou, J., et al., *Investigating SARS-CoV-2 surface and air contamination in an acute healthcare*
- setting during the peak of the COVID-19 pandemic in London. medRxiv, 2020: p. 2020.05.24.20110346.
- 11. Pastorino, B., et al., *Prolonged Infectivity of SARS-CoV-2 in Fomites*. Emerg Infect Dis, 2020.
- 555 **26**(9): p. 2256-7.
- 556 12. Matson, M.J., et al., Effect of Environmental Conditions on SARS-CoV-2 Stability in Human
- 557 Nasal Mucus and Sputum. Emerg Infect Dis, 2020. 26(9): p. 2276-8.
- 558 13. Bohannon, J.K., et al., Generation and characterization of large-particle aerosols using a center
- *flow tangential aerosol generator with a non-human-primate, head-only aerosol chamber.* Inhal Toxicol,
 2015. 27(5): p. 247-53.
- 561 14. Cheng, V.C., et al., Air and environmental sampling for SARS-CoV-2 around hospitalized
- 562 patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol, 2020. 41(11): p.

563 1258-1265.

- 564 15. Liu, Y., et al., *Aerodynamic analysis of SARS-CoV-2 in two Wuhan hospitals*. Nature, 2020.
 565 582(7813): p. 557-560.
- Lu, J., et al., *COVID-19 Outbreak Associated with Air Conditioning in Restaurant, Guangzhou, China, 2020.* Emerg Infect Dis, 2020. 26(7): p. 1628-1631.
- 568 17. Ma, J., et al., *Exhaled breath is a significant source of SARS-CoV-2 emission*. medRxiv, 2020: p.
 569 2020.05.31.20115154.
- 570 18. Miller, S.L., et al., *Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 by inhalation of respiratory aerosol in the*571 *Skagit Valley Chorale superspreading event.* Indoor Air, 2020.
- 572 19. National Academies of Sciences, E. and Medicine, Airborne Transmission of SARS-CoV-2:
- 573 Proceedings of a Workshop—in Brief, ed. M. Shelton-Davenport, et al. 2020, Washington, DC: The
- 574 National Academies Press. 18.
- 575 20. Santarpia, J.L., et al., Aerosol and Surface Transmission Potential of SARS-CoV-2. medRxiv,
- 576 2020: p. 2020.03.23.20039446.

- 577 21. Lednicky, J.A., et al., *Viable SARS-CoV-2 in the air of a hospital room with COVID-19 patients*.
 578 International Journal of Infectious Diseases, 2020. 100: p. 476-482.
- Santarpia, J.L., et al., *Aerosol and surface contamination of SARS-CoV-2 observed in quarantine and isolation care.* Scientific Reports, 2020. 10(1): p. 12732.
- 581 23. Colaneri, M., et al., Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 RNA contamination of
- *inanimate surfaces and virus viability in a health care emergency unit.* Clinical Microbiology and
 Infection, 2020. 26(8): p. 1094.e1-1094.e5.
- 584 24. Rosenke, K., et al., Defining the Syrian hamster as a highly susceptible preclinical model for

585 SARS-CoV-2 infection. Emerg Microbes Infect, 2020: p. 1-36.

- 586 25. Sia, S.F., et al., Pathogenesis and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in golden hamsters. Nature, 2020.
- 587 26. Chan, J.F.-W., et al., Simulation of the Clinical and Pathological Manifestations of Coronavirus
- 588 Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in a Golden Syrian Hamster Model: Implications for Disease Pathogenesis
- 589 and Transmissibility. Clinical Infectious Diseases, 2020.
- 590 27. Corman, V.M., et al., *Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR*.
- 591 Euro Surveill, 2020. **25**(3).
- 592 28. Sun, K., et al., *Transmission heterogeneities, kinetics, and controllability of SARS-CoV-2.*593 Science, 2020.
- 594 29. Muñoz-Fontela, C., et al., Animal models for COVID-19. Nature, 2020. 586(7830): p. 509-515.
- 595 30. Guan, W.J., et al., Comorbidity and its impact on 1590 patients with COVID-19 in China: a
- 596 nationwide analysis. Eur Respir J, 2020. 55(5).
- 597 31. Bryche, B., et al., Massive transient damage of the olfactory epithelium associated with infection
- 598 of sustentacular cells by SARS-CoV-2 in golden Syrian hamsters. Brain Behav Immun, 2020.
- 599 32. Chak-Yiu Lee, A., et al., Oral SARS-CoV-2 inoculation establishes subclinical respiratory
- 600 infection with virus shedding in golden Syrian hamsters. Cell Rep Med, 2020: p. 100121.
- 33. Osterrieder, N., et al., *Age-Dependent Progression of SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Syrian Hamsters*.
 Viruses, 2020. 12(7).
- 603 34. Hammoud, D.A., et al., Aerosol exposure to intermediate size Nipah virus particles induces
- *neurological disease in African green monkeys.* PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 2018. 12(11): p.
 e0006978.
- 606 35. Lee, J.H., et al., The Use of Large-Particle Aerosol Exposure to Nipah Virus to Mimic Human
- 607 Neurological Disease Manifestations in the African Green Monkey. The Journal of Infectious Diseases,
- 608 2019. **221**(Supplement_4): p. S419-S430.
- 609 36. Leung, N.H.L., et al., Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks.
- 610 Nature Medicine, 2020. **26**(5): p. 676-680.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.28.424565; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

- 611 37. Konda, A., et al., Aerosol Filtration Efficiency of Common Fabrics Used in Respiratory Cloth
- 612 *Masks*. ACS Nano, 2020. **14**(5): p. 6339-6347.
- 613 38. Kähler, C.J. and R. Hain, Fundamental protective mechanisms of face masks against droplet
- 614 *infections*. J Aerosol Sci, 2020. **148**: p. 105617.
- 615 39. Gandhi, M. and G.W. Rutherford, Facial Masking for Covid-19 Potential for "Variolation" as
- 616 We Await a Vaccine. New England Journal of Medicine, 2020. 383(18): p. e101.
- 617 40. Byambasuren, O., et al., Estimating the extent of asymptomatic COVID-19 and its potential for
- 618 community transmission: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Official Journal of the Association of
- 619 Medical Microbiology and Infectious Disease Canada, 2020. COVID-19: p. Accepted version,
- e20200030.
- 41. He, G., et al., The clinical feature of silent infections of novel coronavirus infection (COVID-19)
- 622 *in Wenzhou.* Journal of Medical Virology, 2020. **92**(10): p. 1761-1763.
- 623 42. Rothe, C., et al., Transmission of 2019-nCoV Infection from an Asymptomatic Contact in
- 624 *Germany*. N Engl J Med, 2020. **382**(10): p. 970-971.
- 43. Meng, H., et al., CT imaging and clinical course of asymptomatic cases with COVID-19
- 626 *pneumonia at admission in Wuhan, China.* Journal of Infection, 2020. **81**(1): p. e33-e39.
- 44. Mizumoto, K., et al., Estimating the asymptomatic proportion of coronavirus disease 2019
- 628 (COVID-19) cases on board the Diamond Princess cruise ship, Yokohama, Japan, 2020.
- 629 Eurosurveillance, 2020. **25**(10): p. 2000180.
- 630 45. Bai, Y., et al., Presumed Asymptomatic Carrier Transmission of COVID-19. JAMA, 2020.
- 631 **323**(14): p. 1406-1407.
- 46. Bae, S.H., et al., *Asymptomatic Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 on Evacuation Flight*. Emerging
 Infectious Disease journal, 2020. 26(11): p. 2705.
- 634 47. Goldman, E., *Exaggerated risk of transmission of COVID-19 by fomites*. Lancet Infect Dis, 2020.
 635 20(8): p. 892-893.
- 48. Judson, S.D. and V.J. Munster, A framework for nosocomial transmission of emerging
- 637 *coronaviruses.* Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol, 2020: p. 1-2.
- 638 49. Judson, S.D. and V.J. Munster, Nosocomial Transmission of Emerging Viruses via Aerosol-
- 639 *Generating Medical Procedures*. Viruses, 2019. 11(10).
- 640 50. Kutter, J.S., et al., SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 are transmitted through the air between ferrets
- 641 *over more than one meter distance*. bioRxiv, 2020: p. 2020.10.19.345363.
- 642 51. Richard, M., et al., SARS-CoV-2 is transmitted via contact and via the air between ferrets. Nat
- 643 Commun, 2020. **11**(1): p. 3496.

- 644 52. Chan, J.F., et al., Surgical mask partition reduces the risk of non-contact transmission in a golden
- 645 Syrian hamster model for Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Clin Infect Dis, 2020.
- 646 53. Hamner, L., et al., High SARS-CoV-2 Attack Rate Following Exposure at a Choir Practice -
- *Skagit County, Washington, March 2020.* MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 2020. 69(19):
 p. 606-610.
- 649 54. Leclerc, Q.J., et al., What settings have been linked to SARS-CoV-2 transmission clusters? :
- 650 Wellcome Open Res. 2020 Jun 5;5:83. doi: 10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15889.2. eCollection 2020.
- 651 55. Morawska, L., et al., How can airborne transmission of COVID-19 indoors be minimised?
- 652 Environment international, 2020. **142**: p. 105832-105832.
- 653 56. CDC, Ventilation. 2020.
- 654 57. Hou, Y.J., et al., SARS-CoV-2 D614G variant exhibits efficient replication ex vivo and
- 655 *transmission in vivo*. Science, 2020.
- 656 58. de Wit, E., et al., The Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) does not
- 657 replicate in Syrian hamsters. PLoS One, 2013. 8(7): p. e69127.
- 658 59. Alexander, D.J., et al., Association of Inhalation Toxicologists (AIT) working party
- 659 recommendation for standard delivered dose calculation and expression in non-clinical aerosol
- 660 *inhalation toxicology studies with pharmaceuticals.* Inhal Toxicol, 2008. **20**(13): p. 1179-89.
- 661 60. Hartings, J.M. and C.J. Roy, *The automated bioaerosol exposure system: preclinical platform*
- 662 *development and a respiratory dosimetry application with nonhuman primates.* J Pharmacol Toxicol
- 663 Methods, 2004. **49**(1): p. 39-55.
- 664 61. Corman, V.M., et al., Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR.
- Euro surveillance : bulletin Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles = European communicable disease
 bulletin, 2020. 25(3): p. 2000045.
- 667 62. van Doremalen, N., et al., Efficacy of antibody-based therapies against Middle East respiratory
- 668 syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) in common marmosets. Antiviral Res, 2017. 143: p. 30-37.
- 669 63. Yinda, C.K., et al., *K18-hACE2 mice develop respiratory disease resembling severe COVID-19.*670 bioRxiv, 2020.
- 671 64. R Development Core Team, R: A language and Environment for Statistical computing 2010, R
- 672 Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- 673 65. Kolde, R., Implementation of heatmaps that offers more control over dimensions and
- 674 *appearance*. 2019.
- 675 66. Wei, T. and V. Simko, *R package "corrplot": Visualization of a Correlation Matrix.* 2017.
- 676
- 677

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.28.424565; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

678

679 **Figure Legends**

680 Figure 1. Disease severity in Syrian hamsters. a. Experimental layout for intranasal (I.N.), 681 fomite and aerosol exposure experiments. White circle: inoculation, black: necropsy, grey, swab time-points b. Relative weight loss in hamsters after SARS-CoV-2 inoculation over time (DPI = 682 683 day post inoculation, n = 4 per group). The lines represent mean \pm SEM. Black line indicates weights of unexposed control group. Dotted vertical line represent averaged peak weight loss 684 post inoculation or exposure. Statistical significance was measured using a Mann-Whitney two-685 sided test, p-values are shown. c. Violin plot with individuals and median of weight gain at 14 686 DPI. Statistical significance was measured using a Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by Dunn's 687 multiple comparison test. d. Violin plot with individual and median titers of infectious SARS-CoV-688 689 2 in the respiratory and intestinal tissues at 1 DPI and **e.** 4 DPI, Red: I.N, blue: aerosol, purple: 690 fomite, black: unexposed; dotted horizontal line = limit of detection (0.5). GI = gastrointestinal 691 tract; n = 4 per group. Statistical significance was measured using a two-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.001, ***P < 0.0001, ****P < 0.0001. NS, 692 693 not significant.

694

Figure 2. Comparison of early replication of SARS-CoV-2 in respiratory tract 695

696 Comparison of replication of SARS-CoV-2 for intranasal (I.N.), aerosol and fomite inoculated 697 hamsters at 1 day post inoculation (DPI) by immunohistochemistry **a**, **b**, **c**. SARS-CoV-2 antigen 698 detection in ciliated epithelial cells of the nasal mucosa (200x). d. Nasal mucosa from a control hamster (200x). e, f, g. SARS-CoV-2 antigen detection throughout tracheal ciliated epithelial 699 700 cells (400x). h. Normal tracheal mucosa from a control hamster. i. SARS-CoV-2 antigen 701 detection focused on terminal bronchioles and adjacent alveolar spaces (100x). j. Lack of SARS-CoV-2 in epithelial cells with strong antigen detection noted in pulmonary macrophages 702 703 (inset) (100x). k. Lack of SARS-CoV-2 antigen detection throughout the lung (100x). I. Normal 704 lung from control hamster (100x). m. Quantitative comparison of antigen detection for lung (type 705 I and type II pneumocytes, macrophages (mos), mucosa of the trachea and skull sections 706 (olfactory and ciliated epithelium of the nasal turbinates) at 1 day post inoculation for I.N., 707 aerosol, fomite, and control groups. 708

709 Figure 3: Comparison of the respiratory tract pathology of SARS-CoV-2 Infected

710 hamsters 711 Comparison of SARS-CoV-2 pathology for intranasal (I.N.), aerosol and fomite inoculated 712 hamsters at 4 day post inoculation (DPI) a. Infiltration and disruption of the ciliated nasal 713 mucosa by moderate numbers of leukocytes with multifocal epithelial cell necrosis (200x). b. Multifocal disruption of the nasal ciliated mucosa by low numbers of leukocytes with 714 715 accumulations of degenerate leukocytes in the nasal passage (200x). c. Intact ciliated nasal 716 mucosa with normal mucus presence within the lumen (200x). d. A control nasal turbinate with 717 intact ciliated nasal mucosa and mucus within the lumen (200x). e. Disruption of the tracheal 718 mucosa with single cell necrosis and infiltration by low numbers of leukocytes (400x). f. 719 Unaffected tracheal mucosa (400x). g. Unaffected tracheal mucosa (400x). h. Section of 720 tracheal mucosa from a control hamster (400x). i-l. No significant histopathologic lesions in the 721 lung of any inoculation route at 1 day-post-inoculation (100x). m. Multifocal disruption of ciliated 722 nasal mucosa with accumulation of cellular debris and degenerate leukocytes within the nasal 723 passage (200x). n. Severe disruption and multifocal erosion of the nasal mucosa with 724 accumulation of numerous degenerate leukocytes and abundant cellular debris within the nasal 725 passage (200x). o. Ciliated epithelial cell degeneration and mucosal erosion with leukocyte 726 infiltration into the lamina propria (200x). p. Normal nasal turbinate from a control hamster 727 (200x). q. Focal disruption of the tracheal mucosa by low numbers of leukocytes (400x). r. 728 Multifocal infiltration of the mucosa by moderate numbers of leukocytes and multifocal epithelial 729 cell necrosis (400x). s. Multifocal loss of epithelial cilia and infiltration of the lamina propria by 730 moderate numbers of leukocytes (400x). t. Normal tracheal mucosa from a control hamster 731 (400x). u. Widespread, moderate to severe broncho-interstitial pneumonia (100x). v. Multifocal 732 moderate broncho-interstitial pneumonia focused on terminal bronchioles (100x). w. Multifocal, mild interstitial pneumonia focused on terminal bronchioles (100x). x. Normal lung from a control 733 734 hamster (100x). y,z. Clustering (Euclidean, complete) of animals based in viral titers in lung and 735 trachea and quantitative assessment of pathology in the upper and lower respiratory tract on 1 736 DPI and 4 DPI. Heatmap colors refer to color scale on the right, grey = NA. Exposure route is 737 indicated by color bar at the top.

738

739 Figure 4. Exposure dependent SARS-CoV-2 acute systemic cytokine response, strength

of humoral response and viral shedding profile. a. Violin plots with individuals and median of

- serum concentrations of key cytokines (interferon (IFN)- γ , tumour necrosis factor (TNF)- α ,
- interleukin (IL)-6, IL-4, and IL-10) on 4 days post inoculation (DPI). b. Violin plots with
- individuals and median of endpoint IgG antibody titres against SARS-CoV-2 spike ectodomain
- measured by ELISA in serum. ELISAs were done once. **c.** Respiratory and **d.** intestinal viral

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.28.424565; this version posted December 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

745 shedding of I.N., aerosol and fomite exposed hamsters. Median, 95% CI and individuals are 746 shown. e. Peak shedding and f. cumulative (area under the curve (AUC) analysis) respiratory and intestinal shedding of I.N., aerosol and fomite exposed hamsters. Statistical significance 747 was measured by Kruskal-Wallis test, n = 4 per group. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.001, ***P < 0.0001, 748 749 ****P < 0.0001. NS, not significant. **g.** Correlation between cytokine levels, early shedding (2) 750 DPI), peak shedding, peak weight loss, lung titers and pathology at 4 DPI. Significant correlations (n = 4 per group, Pearson-Spearman analysis, p < 0.05) are indicated with an 751 asterisk and strength of correlation (R^2) is depicted according to the colour bar on the right. 752 753 754 Figure 5. Fomite and airborne transmission in the Syrian hamster. a. Experimental layout 755 for fomite and **b**. airborne exposure experiments in hamsters. **c**. Pictures of smoke test to 756 demonstrate unidirectional airflow in the transmission cage. d. Aerodynamic particle size

- distribution on either side of the transmission cage. **e.** Reduction of particles by the divider. **f**, **g**.
- 758 Relative weight loss in hamsters after SARS-CoV-2 transmission via fomite and airborne routes.
- Lines represent mean ± SEM. h. Violin plot with individuals and median of endpoint IgG
- antibody titres against SARS-CoV-2 spike ectodomain by ELISA in serum of hamsters infected
- through airborne and fomite transmission route. ELISAs were done once. **i.** Respiratory
- shedding profile of hamsters exposed through fomite and airborne transmission routes,
- individuals, median and 95% CI are shown. **j.** cumulative (area under the curve (AUC) analysis)
- of respiratory shedding from animals which seroconverted after airborne and fomite
- transmission. Violin plots with individuals and median are depicted. Statistical significance was

766 measured by Kruskal-Wallis test, n = 8 per group. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.001, ***P < 0.0001, ****P < 0.0001, ****P < 0.0001, NS, not significant.

768

769 Supplemental legend

- 770 **Supplemental Figure 1: a.** Violin plot of infectious SARS-CoV-2 titer in the lungs of all animals
- at 14 or 21 DPI. **b.** cage and **c.** bedding contamination by infected animals till 7 DPI.





σ

0

130-

Ż

Fomite

130**-**1

Aerosol

130-

HO

⊦Q-

C



Brain Upper GI Lower GI

Lung

¢ ¢

0 0 0

0 0

П

¢

П

Trachea Lung Upper GI Lower GI





ε



(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a C C license.



